

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ROME DIVISION**

EARL PARRIS, JR., individually,  
and on behalf of a class of persons  
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

V.

3M COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:21-cv-00040-TWT

**DEFENDANT 3M COMPANY’S ANSWER TO DEFENDANT RYAN  
DEJUAN JARRETT’S CROSSCLAIM FILED IN RESPONSE TO  
PLAINTIFF’S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Defendant 3M Company (“3M”) hereby files its answer and defenses to the crossclaim contained in Defendant Ryan Dejuan Jarrett’s (“Defendant Jarrett”) Answer and Crossclaim to Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint (ECF Doc. 152) (“Crossclaim”).

## I. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

## FIRST DEFENSE

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

## **SECOND DEFENSE**

Some or all of Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is barred by the applicable statute of limitations, and Defendant Jarrett's alleged damages are barred to the extent that any damages were incurred outside the applicable statute of limitations.

## **THIRD DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is barred, in whole or in part, by the bulk supplier and sophisticated user doctrines.

## **FOURTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is barred, in whole or in part, as to 3M because 3M did not exercise control over the cause of the harm alleged.

## **FIFTH DEFENSE**

Any injuries or damages complained of in the Crossclaim were not caused by 3M's alleged actions.

## **SIXTH DEFENSE**

3M denies, to the extent the actions alleged may have occurred, that any entity engaging in the activities alleged was acting as the agent or servant of 3M, or at the instruction or subject to the control of 3M with regard to any of the

actions described in the Crossclaim. Accordingly, 3M is not liable for any acts or omissions of such third parties as a matter of law.

#### **SEVENTH DEFENSE**

3M owed no duty to Defendant Jarrett.

#### **EIGHTH DEFENSE**

The proximate cause of any injury sustained by Defendant Jarrett was an event or incident which was not legally foreseeable by 3M, and, therefore, 3M has no legal liability for any of Defendant Jarrett's damages.

#### **NINTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is barred, in whole or in part, to the extent it attempts to impose liability based on retroactive application of laws, regulations, standards, or guidelines.

#### **TENTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett is barred from recovering any damages by virtue of the fact that there was no practical or technically feasible alternative design or formulation that would have prevented the harm alleged to Defendant Jarrett without substantially impairing the usefulness or intended purpose of the product.

**ELEVENTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's claim for attorneys' fees is barred because 3M has not acted in a manner that gives rise to a claim for attorneys' fees or for the expenses of litigation.

**TWELFTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett is not entitled to injunctive or equitable relief against 3M, as Defendant Jarrett has an adequate remedy at law.

**THIRTEENTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim against 3M is barred, in whole or in part, because 3M's conduct complied with the standards of care under applicable law, regulation, and industry practice.

**FOURTEENTH DEFENSE**

3M asserts as a defense, credit, or set-off against the damages claimed by Defendant Jarrett in the event any monies are paid to Defendant Jarrett in connection with a settlement (or settlements) between Defendant Jarrett and any other entity.

**FIFTEENTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim against 3M is barred, in whole or in part, because any damage allegedly suffered by Defendant Jarrett was caused by the acts, omissions, or conduct of others constituting an intervening cause.

**SIXTEENTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is barred, in whole or in part, by the economic loss doctrine.

**SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is barred, in whole or in part, as to 3M because 3M is alleged to have done nothing more than lawfully and properly sell a lawful product.

**EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is barred, in whole or in part, by applicable statutes of repose, including O.C.G.A. §51-1-11.

**NINETEENTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is barred, in whole or in part, by his failure to mitigate damages.

**TWENTIETH DEFENSE**

Any recovery by Defendant Jarrett may be barred or reduced by the negligence, fault, conduct, or carelessness of others for whose conduct 3M is not responsible. Any judgment rendered against 3M in this action, under all theories of liability, must be limited to the fault, if any, attributable to 3M. If any judgment in Defendant Jarrett's favor is entered in this matter, including against 3M, then said judgment must be apportioned among any and all other persons or entities, whether parties or non-parties, who are or could be responsible for any of Defendant Jarrett's injuries or damages. *See, e.g.*, O.C.G.A. § 51-12-33.

**TWENTY-FIRST DEFENSE**

Any damages awarded in this case are subject to apportionment and 3M is not jointly and severally liable with Defendant Jarrett. Any claim for contribution or indemnity is therefore barred by law. *See* O.C.G.A. § 51-12-33.

**TWENTY-SECOND DEFENSE**

The Crossclaim for indemnity and contribution is not a cognizable claim to the extent fault is apportioned.

**TWENTY-THIRD DEFENSE**

Any claims against 3M for abatement of an alleged nuisance are barred as 3M does not have control over or access to the property that constitutes the alleged nuisance.

**TWENTY-FOURTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is barred in whole or in part by the doctrines of laches, waiver, estoppel, and unclean hands.

**TWENTY-FIFTH DEFENSE**

Some or all of the damages sought in Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim constitute impermissible double recovery for the alleged injuries.

**TWENTY-SIXTH DEFENSE**

An independent, intervening, and superseding event or other negligence prevents any recovery by Defendant Jarrett against 3M.

**TWENTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE**

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim against 3M is barred, in whole or in part, by Defendant Jarrett's consent, assumption of the risk, or contributory negligence.

**TWENTY-EIGHTH DEFENSE**

3M reserves its rights of setoff, indemnity, and recoupment.

## **TWENTY-NINTH DEFENSE**

3M reserves the right to include additional affirmative defenses if warranted by facts and evidence uncovered during discovery or otherwise.

### **II. ANSWER**

Without waiver of the foregoing affirmative defenses, 3M responds to the Crossclaim as follows:

Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim states a legal conclusion to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required and Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is directed to 3M, denied. To the extent Defendant Jarrett's Crossclaim is directed at other Defendants, 3M is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and therefore denies the same.

3M further denies that Defendant Jarrett is entitled to any relief.

WHEREFORE, Defendant 3M Company requests that the claims against it be denied and that costs and fees in this action be assessed upon Defendant Jarrett.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of May, 2022.

/s/ Benjamin P. Harmon

Jackson R. Sharman, III, GA Bar No. 637930

Benjamin P. Harmon, GA Bar No. 979043

Harlan I. Prater, *Pro Hac Vice*

M. Christian King, *Pro Hac Vice*

W. Larkin Radney, *Pro Hac Vice*

LIGHTFOOT, FRANKLIN & WHITE LLC



The Clark Building  
400 20th Street North  
Birmingham, AL 35203  
Telephone: (205) 581-0700  
Email: jsharman@lightfootlaw.com  
Email: bharmon@lightfootlaw.com  
Email: hprater@lightfootlaw.com  
Email: cking@lightfootlaw.com  
Email: lradney@lightfootlaw.com

Robert B. Remar, GA Bar No. 600575  
S. Gardner Culpepper, GA Bar No. 201210  
Monica P. Witte, GA Bar No. 405952  
Katherine L. D'Ambrosio, GA Bar No. 780128  
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP  
1105 W. Peachtree Street, NE  
Suite 1000  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
Telephone: (404) 815-3500  
rremar@sgrlaw.com  
sculpepper@sgrlaw.com  
mwitte@sgrlaw.com  
kdambrosio@sgrlaw.com

*Counsel for Defendant 3M Company*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ROME DIVISION**

EARL PARRIS, JR., individually,  
and on behalf of a class of persons  
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

V.

3M COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:21-cv-00040-TWT

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**  
**AND COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 5.1**

I hereby certify that I have this date electronically filed the within and foregoing, which has been prepared using 14-point Times New Roman font, with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will automatically send email notification of such filing to all attorneys of record.

Dated: May 12, 2022.

*/s/ Benjamin P. Harmon*

Jackson R. Sharman, III, GA Bar No. 637930

Benjamin P. Harmon, GA Bar No. 979043

Harlan I. Prater, *Pro Hac Vice*

M. Christian King, *Pro Hac Vice*

W. Larkin Radney, *Pro Hac Vice*

LIGHTFOOT, FRANKLIN & WHITE LLC

## The Clark Building

400 20th Street North

Birmingham, AL 35203  
Telephone: (205) 581-0700  
Email: jsharman@lightfootlaw.com  
Email: bharmon@lightfootlaw.com  
Email: hprater@lightfootlaw.com  
Email: cking@lightfootlaw.com  
Email: lradney@lightfootlaw.com

Robert B. Remar, GA Bar No. 600575  
S. Gardner Culpepper, GA Bar No. 201210  
Monica P. Witte, GA Bar No. 405952  
Katherine L. D'Ambrosio, GA Bar No. 780128  
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP  
1105 W. Peachtree Street, NE  
Suite 1000  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
Telephone: (404) 815-3500  
rremar@sgrlaw.com  
sculpepper@sgrlaw.com  
mwitte@sgrlaw.com  
kdambrosio@sgrlaw.com

*Counsel for Defendant 3M Company*